



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,706	06/19/2001	Patrick W. Breslin	12521-009	4055
48276	7590 10/03/2005		EXAM	INER
TIFFANY & BOSCO			LUGO, CARLOS	
CAMELBACK ESPLANADE II, THIRD FLOOR 2525 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD PHOENIX, AZ 85016			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3676	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	V	<u> </u>
· · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/884,706	BRESLIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carlos Lugo	3676
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to realize to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 36(a). In no event, however, may a repwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  lly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 J	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 30-42 is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5,15,16,18,19 and 23-25 is/are rejeently claim(s) 2-4,6-14,17,20-22 and 26-29 is/are of 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 June 2001 is/are: a	wn from consideration. ected. bjected to. or election requirement. er.	ted to by the Eveminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap nity documents have been r u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

M

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to applicant's RCE filed on July 13, 2005.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1,5,6,15,16,18,19, and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat No 4,003,614 to Geer et al (Geer) in view of US Pat No 5,066,055 to Saitoh et al (Saitoh)

Regarding claims 1 and 23-25, Geer discloses a latching system base (11') and a closable member (12,13 and 14).

An elongated member (20) is secure to the closable member. The elongated member includes a first connector (19) and a fork member (21) secure to the elongated member.

A latch plate assembly is hingedly secure to the closable member (at 17). The assembly includes a handle (16) and a latch lever (16a) extending from the handle. The latch lever is in mechanical communication with the fork member (21).

However, Geer fails to disclose that the elongated member rotates. Geer discloses that the elongated member (20) is a pivot pin that mounts the connector to the closeable member and allows the connector (19) to rotate.

Saitoh teaches that it is well known in the art of latches to have a connector (12) having an elongated member (14) attached to the body as a one-piece member. The member (14) serves as a mounting member for the connector and also as a pivot for the connector.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of latches at the time the invention was made to provide the elongated member described by Geer, as a one piece construction with the connector, as taught by Saitoh, since it is considered as a design consideration that will not affect the latch mechanism.

As to claim 5, Geer discloses that the fork member (21) includes a tube (22) having the forks extending therefrom (Figure 4).

As to claim 6, Geer illustrates that the latch lever (16a) includes an engaging member having at least one opposed knob extending therefrom (the end of 16a) and engaging at least one fork of the fork member (Figure 4).

Geer fails to disclose that the handle is made of aluminum. Geer discloses and illustrates that is made of metal. However, aluminum is a well-known material.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art t the time the invention was made to have the handle made of aluminum, in order to use the characteristics of the material in favor of the construction of the handle.

As to claim 16, the method of forming the device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

Application/Control Number: 09/884,706

Art Unit: 3676

As to claim 18, Geer discloses that the closable member (12,13 and 14) is adapted to engage the base (11').

As to claim 19, Geer discloses that the handle includes a handhold end (44 and 45) and a hinge end (connection of 16 and 16b), wherein the handholds end has a serration defined therein.

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2,3,12,26 and 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 4,6-11,13,14,17,20-22,27 and 28 would also be allowed because the claims depend from claims 2,3,12,26 and 29 respectively.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,5,6,15,16,18,19, and 23-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos Lugo whose telephone number 571-272-7058.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571-272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-7049.

Application/Control Number: 09/884,706

Art Unit: 3676

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5771.

C.L.

Carlos Lugo AU 3676

September 23, 2005

BRIAN E. GLESGNER
PRIMARY EXAMINER